

**SECTION 1 – MAJOR APPLICATIONS**

**LIST NO:** 1/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/993/04/CFU

**LOCATION:** Parkville House, Red Lion Parade, Pinner

**APPLICANT:** Sanderson Associates for Auger Investments PLC

**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Office to Residential (Class B1 – C3) on 1<sup>st</sup> / 2<sup>nd</sup> Floors and Additional Floor to Provide 21 Flats with External Staircase (Resident Permit Restricted).

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 1/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/371/04CFU

**LOCATION:** 8 Village Way, Pinner

**APPLICANT:** M P Associates for 3 Continents Ltd

**PROPOSAL:** Redevelopment: Detached Three Storey Building to Provide 18 B1 (Business) Units with Underground Car Parking and Access.

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason reported and subject to the informative(s) reported.

(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest).

**LIST NO:** 1/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/715/04/CFU

**LOCATION:** Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Brockley Hill, Stanmore

**APPLICANT:** Atkins Consultants for OR International

**PROPOSAL:** Two Storey Detached Building to Provide Medical Facilities, Car Park and Hardsurfacing

**DECISION:** See Minute 636

**LIST NO:** 1/04                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/992/04/CFU

**LOCATION:** Wealdstone Ex-Servicemens' Club, 23 Headstone Drive, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** Yurky Cross Architects for Acton Housing Association

**PROPOSAL:** Redevelopment: 3 & 4 Storey Building to Provide 22 Affordable Flats & Parking (Resident Permit Restricted)

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

(Notes: (1) During the debate on the above item it was moved and seconded that the application be refused on the grounds that the proposal represented an overdevelopment and an overintensive use of the site by reason of its excessively high density, contrary to the recommended levels of density as set out in the London Plan, to the detriment of the amenity of neighbouring residents and businesses. Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried;

(2) Councillor Mrs Bath wished to be recorded as having voted in favour of the above motion to refuse the application and as voting against the decision to grant the application).

**LIST NO:** 1/05                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/938/04/CDP  
**LOCATION:** Elmwood, 6 The Avenue, Hatch End  
**APPLICANT:** Andrew Scott Associates for Denhurst Properties Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Details of Design and Appearance Pursuant to Condition 2 of Outline Permission P/1176/03/COU for 14 Flats with Access and Basement Parking.  
**DECISION:** APPROVED the details, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 1/06                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/850/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 1, 2 & 3 Spinney Cottages, Football Lane, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Keepers/Governors – Harrow School  
**PROPOSAL:** Detached Three Storey Building to Provide Teaching Accommodation  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit  
(See also Minute 649: Any Other Business)

**LIST NO:** 1/07                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/899/04/CCA  
**LOCATION:** 1, 2 & 3 Spinney Cottages, Football Lane, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Keepers/Governors – Harrow School  
**PROPOSAL:** Demolition of 3 Residential Properties  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit  
(See also Minute 649: Any Other Business)

#### **SECTION 2 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR GRANT**

**LIST NO:** 2/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/937/04/CVA  
**LOCATION:** 2 Radnor Avenue, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Jeremy Peter Associates for Mrs Myrna Samson  
**PROPOSAL:** Variation of Condition F of P.P. LBH/5470/4 dated 18.10.76 to Permit Use of Property for Playgroup without Personal Restriction  
**DECISION:** GRANTED variation(s) in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans as reported, subject to the deletion of the condition 1, and subject to the informative(s) reported, and the following additional informative agreed by the Committee:

2. The applicant is advised that all other conditions relating to the original grant of planning permission and application WEST/44643/92/VAR continue to apply.

(Notes: (1) During the discussion on the above application, it was moved and seconded that the request for variation be refused on the grounds that the personal restriction condition should not be lifted as this would create uncertainty in respect of the activity generated by 20 pupils and had the potential to cause a loss of residential amenity which might result because of a change of management. Upon being put to a vote, this was not carried.

(2) The vote on the above motion was not carried upon the Chair exercising her extra, casting vote;

(3) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Billson, Janet Cowan and Knowles wished to be recorded as having voted in favour of the motion to

refuse the application outlined above;

(4) The vote on the substantive motion to grant the above application was carried upon the Chair exercising her extra, casting vote).

---

**LIST NO:** 2/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/852/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 45 Whitchurch Gardens, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** D R Joyner for Mr & Mrs Jhunhunwala  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Residential (Class C3) to Pre-School Nursery for 6 Children (Class D1) on Part of Ground Floor  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/964/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Milmans Day Centre, 204 Grove Avenue, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** The Wilson Partnership for London Borough of Harrow  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Residential Care Home (Class C2) to Specialist Care Facility (Class D1/SUI Generis) on First Floor  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.  
  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

---

**LIST NO:** 2/04                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1055/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 7 Rickmansworth Road, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Aylett Associates for Rylex Investments  
**PROPOSAL:** Replacement 2 Storey Building with Rooms in Roofspace to Provide 4 Flats, Forecourt Parking  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.  
  
(Note: Having declared a prejudicial interest in this item, Councillor Anne Whitehead stood down from the Chair for the duration of the consideration of this item and the Vice-Chair, Councillor Bluston, took the Chair. At the conclusion of consideration of the item, Councillor Whitehead resumed the Chair).  
  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

---

**LIST NO:** 2/05                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/204/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 16 Hallam Gardens, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Mr H Paster  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of Replacement Fencing  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

---

**LIST NO:** 2/06                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/682/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Harrow College, 12 Brookshill, Harrow Weald  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Harrow College  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of New Fencing and Entrance Gates with Hardsurfacing  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.  
  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

---

**LIST NO:** 2/07                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1004/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Harrow College, Lowlands Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Harrow College of Further Education  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of New Flue to Plant Room  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/08                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/579/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Cherry Tree Cottage, Yew Tree Cottage, The Beeches, Old Church Lane, Stanmore  
**APPLICANT:** CgMs Consulting for Laing Homes Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Redevelopment to Provide 7 Flats in Two Linked 2 Storey Blocks with Accommodation in Roofspace with Access and Parking.  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED for a Member site visit.  
  
(See also Minute 649: Any Other Business)

---

**LIST NO:** 2/09                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/895/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Unit B1, Neptune Industrial Estate, 5 Neptune Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Tecon Ltd for Pharmchem International Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of New Storage Building  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/10                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1080/04/CLB  
**LOCATION:** Roxeth First & Middle School, 1 Brickfields, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Paul McCarthy for London Borough of Harrow  
**PROPOSAL:** Listed Building Consent: New Escape Stair, Rooflights and Internal Alterations  
**DECISION:** GRANTED Listed Building Consent, and refer to the Secretary of State with the suggested condition(s) reported, subject to the informative(s) reported.

---

---

**LIST NO:** 2/11                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/625/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Link House, Pinner Hill, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Orchard Associates for Mr & Mrs P Marcuse  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Side Extension, Replacement Double Garage, Detached Building in Rear Garden, Replacement and Extended Drive  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/12                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1078/04/CCA  
**LOCATION:** Link House, Pinner Hill, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Orchard Associates for Mr & Mrs P Marcuse  
**PROPOSAL:** Conservation Area Consent: Demolition of Stable Outbuilding, Garage , Stores and Utility Addition  
**DECISION:** GRANTED Conservation Area Consent in accordance with the works described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/13                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/2/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Wildwoods, 25 Warren Lane, Stanmore  
**APPLICANT:** S Sergiou for Stephen Noble  
**PROPOSAL:** First Floor Front and Part First Floor, Part Two Storey Rear Extensions  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/14                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/848/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Madalane House, Hillside Road, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Alan Cumber  
**PROPOSAL:** Replacement Double Garage with New Driveway and Provision of Wall with Double Gates on Hillside Road Frontage  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/15                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1049/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Bridle Cottages, Brookshill Drive, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Copse Farm Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Rear Extension  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the following reason(s) and subject to Standard Informative 41 – UDP and Deposit Draft UDP Policies and Proposals (E4, E6, E8, E11, E34, E38, E45) (SEP5, SD1, D4, D5, D12, D17, EP31, EP34):

1. The Proposal would adversely affect the character of the building to the detriment of the Conservation Area and Area of Special Character.

2. The extension and alterations to the Grade II Listed Building would damage the harmony, openness and appearance of the building and surrounding Area of Special Character located in the Green Belt.

(Notes: (1) Prior to discussing applications 2/15 and 2/16, the Committee received a representation from an objector.

The objector, who lived in a nearby property, advised that, in his view, the proposed extension would be a disproportionate addition to the property in terms of size, bulk and height, and would appear dominant in the street scene and on the skyline. He pointed out that the proposal would obscure the view from the Bentley Priory Circle Walk. He also considered that the proposal would amount to 'infilling', which, he pointed out, was what the designation of Brookshill Drive as a Conservation Area had specifically been aimed at preventing.

For these reasons he considered that the proposals would be damaging to the appearance and openness of this part of the green belt and damaging to this area of special character and the Conservation Area. He added that the proposals contravened a number of major UDP policies. He urged the Committee to refuse the applications.

There was no indication given that the applicant was present and wished to respond.

(2) Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Miles and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having abstained from voting on the motion to refuse applications 2/15 and 2/16 on the grounds that they had wished to defer consideration of the application pending a Member site visit;

(3) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Janet Cowan, Knowles and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted in favour of the motion to refuse applications 2/15 and 2/16;

(4) The Chief Planning Officer had recommended that applications 2/15 and 2/16 be granted).

---

<b>LIST NO:</b>	2/16	<b>APPLICATION NO:</b>	P/1098/04/CLB
<b>LOCATION:</b>	Bridle Cottages, Brookshill Drive, Harrow		
<b>APPLICANT:</b>	Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Copse Farm Ltd		
<b>PROPOSAL:</b>	Listed Building Consent: Single Storey Rear Extension and Internal Alterations		
<b>DECISION:</b>	REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the following reason(s) and subject to Standard Informative 41 – UDP and Deposit Draft UDP Policies and Proposals (E34, E38) (D12, D16):		
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Proposal would adversely affect the character of the building to the detriment of the Conservation Area and Area of Special Character.</li> <li>2. The extension and alterations to the Grade II Listed Building would damage the harmony, openness and appearance of the building and surrounding Area of Special Character located in the Green Belt.</li> </ol>		
	(See notes under application 2/15 above)		

---

<b>LIST NO:</b>	2/17	<b>APPLICATION NO:</b>	P/1048/04/CFU
<b>LOCATION:</b>	Copse Farm House, 17 Brookshill Drive, Harrow		
<b>APPLICANT:</b>	Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Copse Farm Ltd		
<b>PROPOSAL:</b>	Two Storey Rear Extension and Detached Garage (Revised)		

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the following reason(s) and subject to Standard Informative 41 – UDP and Deposit Draft UDP Policies and Proposals (E34, E38) (D12, D16):

1. The proposal would be an overdevelopment of the site to the detriment of the Area of Special Character contrary to Green Belt policy.
2. The proposal would adversely affect the character of the building to the detriment of the Conservation Area, Area of Special Character and openness of the Green Belt.

(Notes: (1) Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received a representation from an objector and a representative of the applicant.

The objector pointed out that the proposed extension would equate to a 50% increase in the width of the property and was of the view that it would be bulky, obtrusive and dominant in the streetscene. He considered that it would block views of the surrounding countryside from Brookshill Road and he also argued that the proposal would amount to 'infilling', which, he pointed out, was what the designation of Brookshill Drive as a Conservation Area had specifically been aimed at preventing. For these reasons he considered that the proposal would be damaging to the appearance and openness of this part of the green belt.

In response, a representative of the applicant argued that the drawings circulated by the objector were inaccurate, bland and lacking in detail, and urged the Committee to defer consideration of the application for a site visit to better judge the impact of the proposal.

(2) Councillors Bluston, Choudhury, Idaikkadar, Miles and Anne Whitehead wished to be recorded as having abstained from voting on the motion to refuse the above application on the grounds that they had wished to defer consideration of the application pending a Member site visit;

(3) Councillors Marilyn Ashton, Mrs Bath, Janet Cowan, Knowles and Mrs Joyce Nickolay wished to be recorded as having voted in favour of the motion to refuse the application;

(4) The Chief Planning Officer had recommended that this application be granted).

---

**LIST NO:** 2/18 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2993/03/CFU

**LOCATION:** 40 Elms Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** BB Partnership Ltd for Mr & Mrs A Saffrin

**PROPOSAL:** Two Storey Side Extension

**DECISION:** DEFERRED for Member site visit.

(See Minute 649: Any Other Business)

---

**LIST NO:** 2/19 **APPLICATION NO:** P/2994/03/CLB

**LOCATION:** 40 Elms Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** BB Partnership Ltd for Mr & Mrs A Saffrin

**PROPOSAL:** Listed Building Consent: Demolition of Single Storey Extension, Replacement Two Storey Side Extension

**DECISION:** DEFERRED for Member site visit.

(See Minute 649: Any Other Business)

---

---

**LIST NO:** 2/20                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/388/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Castlewood, Pinner Hill, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Middlesex & Herts for Mr & Mrs Harjette  
**PROPOSAL:** First Floor Rear Extension with Pitched Roof  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/21                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/480/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 36 West Towers, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Sheeley & Associates for Mr & Mrs Marsh  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Rear Extension (Revised)  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/22                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/2362/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 20 Evelyn Drive, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** San Matthew Trench – Analytic Ltd for Mr K M Purcell  
**PROPOSAL:** Two Storey Rear Extension with Rooflight and Replacement Windows with Wooden Frames  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/23                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/873/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Laurelhurst, Pinner Hill, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Orchard Associates for Mr & Mrs Pithers  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Front, Side, Basement and Rear Extensions, Demolition of Store Building  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/24                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1136/04/CCO  
**LOCATION:** Chalgrove, 30 Peterborough Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Complete Planning for Mr J McGinley  
**PROPOSAL:** Retention of Paved Area/Steps at Front, Paved Patio & Wall at Rear, Provision of Replacement Boundary Fence & Timber Gate  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED at Officers' request for further negotiation relating to materials.

---



---

**LIST NO:** 2/25                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/106/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 53A Lake View, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** J V Architects for Mr V J Pindoria  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Side Extension to Form Replacement Garden Store  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/26                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1132/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 3 Canons Drive, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** D R Joyner for Mr & Mrs Lawrence  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Rear Extension (Revised)  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.  
  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest).

---

**LIST NO:** 2/27                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/942/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 68 Marsworth Avenue, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Mr & Mrs Angol  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Rear Extension  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/28                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/3009/03/DFU  
**LOCATION:** 18 Harrow View, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Mr H Aggarwal for Dr A S Maan  
**PROPOSAL:** Conversion of Dwellinghouse to Two Self-Contained Flats  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/29                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/627/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Burwood, 11 Church Lane, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Thomas Smith  
**PROPOSAL:** Front and Rear Dormer Windows  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED at Officers' request in order to receive the comments of the CAAC.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/30                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/765/04/DFU  
**LOCATION:** Headstone Manor Recreation Ground R/O 101 Headstone Lane, Harrow Weald  
**APPLICANT:** Mr H Patel for West Harrow Cricket Club  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Front and Rear Extensions and Provision of New Roof  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 2/31                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/982/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Buckingham Boulangerie, 9 Buckingham Parade, Stanmore  
**APPLICANT:** Preston Bennett Holdings Ltd for Dovelawn Management Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Internal Alterations to Provide Mezzanine Floor for Class A3 (Food and Drink) Use  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the condition(s) and informative(s) reported and the following replacement condition, agreed by the Committee:

Delete Condition 5 and replace with:

'The A3 use hereby permitted to the mezzanine floor area shall not be open to customers outside the following times:

07.00 hours to 23.30 hours, Monday to Saturday inclusive and  
08.30 hours to 20.30 hours on Sundays

without the written permission of the local planning authority.

REASON: To safeguard the amenity of the area.'

(Note: Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received a representation from a representative of an objector. The representative argued that the proposal was invalid as the applicants were only leasing the property, would result in a loss of A1 retail floorspace, would be detrimental to the vitality and viability of the local shopping centre, and would be contrary to the policies within the revised UDP as it would result in too great a percentage of the frontage being in non-retail use. He also argued that the shop's turnover would fall.

In response, the applicant emphasised that the application was valid and that there would be no loss of A1 floorspace, but, in fact, an increase. He pointed out that the A3 use would be ancillary to the principal retail use and that there would no change to the shop frontage. With reference to the objector's comments relating to his turnover, the applicant queried how the objector would have knowledge of his turnover).

(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

### **SECTION 3 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECMOMENDED FOR REFUSAL**

**LIST NO:** 3/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/69/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Greenways, 633 Uxbridge Road, Hatch End  
**APPLICANT:** Banner Homes  
**PROPOSAL:** Redevelopment: Detached Three Storey Building to Provide 8 Flats with New Access

**DECISION:** That, had the applicants not appealed against non-determination, the application would have been REFUSED for the reason(s) reported, subject to the amendment noted below, and subject to the informative(s) reported:  
Amend Reason 2 to read:

'The proposed hard-surfaced car parking area, together with the proposed bin store and cycle stores in the front garden, would be unduly obtrusive and detract from the appearance of the building and the street scene'.

**LIST NO:** 3/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/435/04/COU  
**LOCATION:** 180-188 Northolt Road, South Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Mr R Sood for Durbin PLC  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of Two Additional Floors of Office Accommodation  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported and subject to the informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 3/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/429/04/CFU  
**LOCATION:** St John The Baptist Church, 274 Station Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Mr Adrian Cox (Church Warden) for Parochial Church Council  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of 1.8 Metre High Railings at Front of Church  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported, subject to the amendment noted below agreed by the Committee, and subject to the informative(s) reported.  
  
Amend reason - substitute 'consummate' with 'commensurate'  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

**LIST NO:** 3/04                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/872/04/CVA  
**LOCATION:** Park House, 102 High Street, Harrow on the Hill  
**APPLICANT:** J R Andrews for T J Harriss  
**PROPOSAL:** Variation of Conditions 4, 5 & 6 of Planning Permission P/1772/03/CFU, Limiting Opening Hours, Uses with Class D1 and Numbers of Practitioners.  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported and subject to the informative(s) reported.  
  
(See also Minute 627: Declarations of Interest)

**LIST NO:** 3/05                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/936/04/CVA  
**LOCATION:** 2 Radnor Avenue, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Jeremy Peter Associates for Mrs Myrna Samson  
**PROPOSAL:** Variation of Condition C of Planning Permission LBH/5470/4 to Permit Use of Dwellinghouse for Playgroup Purposes Between 08.30 and 17.30 Hours Mon-Fri Excluding Bank Holidays  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported and subject to the informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 3/06                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1046/04/CVA  
**LOCATION:** Lawsons, 301-303 Burnt Oak Broadway, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** Rennie & Partners for Lawsons Timber Merchants  
**PROPOSAL:** Variation of Condition 8 of Planning Permission EAST/710/97/FUL to Permit Opening Hours from 07.30 hrs Monday to Friday Inclusive  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported and subject to the informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 3/07                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1135/04/CCO  
**LOCATION:** 184 Whitchurch Lane, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** Nesbitt and Mire for Michael Kauffer  
**PROPOSAL:** Continued Use of Property as a 6 Bedroom House in Multiple Occupation Including Use of Existing Garage as Habitable Room  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason(s) reported, subject to the amendment noted below, and subject to the informative(s) reported:  
  
Amend reason to read 'The proposed form of continued use would result...' etc

#### **SECTION 4 – CONSULTATIONS FROM NEIGHBOURING AUTHORITIES**

**LIST NO:** 4/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/1050/04/CNA  
**LOCATION:** County End, Magpie Hall Road, Bushey Heath, Herts  
**APPLICANT:** Hertsmere Borough Council  
**PROPOSAL:** Consultation: First Floor Rear Extension  
**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development set out in the application, subject to the informative(s) reported.

**LIST NO:** 4/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/796/04/CNA  
**LOCATION:** County End, Magpie Hall Road, Bushey Heath, Herts  
**APPLICANT:** Hertsmere Borough Council  
**PROPOSAL:** Consultation: Application for Listed Building Consent: First Floor Rear Extension Incorporating Canopy Over Garage, Dining Room and Study.  
**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development set out in the application, subject to the informative(s) reported.